Lecture 13

*Lecturer:Xiangyu Chang Scribe: Xiangyu Chang*

*Edited by: Xiangyu Chang*

# **1 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers**

This part is summarized from the article [[1\]](#page-5-0).

## **1.1 Three Related Algorithms**

## **Algorithm 1: Dual Gradient Ascent**

Consider

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}),
$$
  
s.t.  $A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = 0$ .

Lagrangian:  $L(\mathbf{x}, \nu) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \nu^\top (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})$ . Thus,

$$
g(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \inf_{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = L(\mathbf{x}^*(\boldsymbol{\nu}), \boldsymbol{\nu}).
$$

The dual problem is

 $\max_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} g(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ .

Because we have

$$
\nabla g(\mathbf{\nu}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^*}{\partial \mathbf{\nu}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{\nu}} = (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}),
$$

where  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^*} = 0$ . Based on that, the dual gradient assent algorithm is

Step 1: 
$$
\mathbf{x}^t = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t),
$$
 (1)

Step 2: 
$$
\boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\nu}^t + s_t (A \mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{b}). \tag{2}
$$

The dual variable *ν* can be interpreted as a vector of prices, and *ν*-update is called a "price update" step.

#### **Algorithm 2: Dual Decomposition**

The major benefit of the dual ascent method is that it can lead to a decentralized algorithm if *f* is separable. We consider

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_k(\mathbf{x}_k),
$$
  
s.t.  $A\mathbf{x} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{b},$ 

where  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k}, \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{x}_k = n.$ 

For Lagrangian:

$$
L(\mathbf{x}, \nu) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_k(\mathbf{x}_k) + \nu^{\top} (\sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \underbrace{\{f_k(\mathbf{x}_k) + \nu^{\top} (A_k \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b}/K) \}}_{:=L_k(\mathbf{x}_k, \nu)}.
$$

Algorithm:

$$
\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{t+1} &= \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}_{k}} L_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t}), \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} &= \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t} + s_{t}(A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b}). \end{cases}
$$

So, first we broadcast  $v^t$  to all threads. Then they compute each  $\mathbf{x}_k^{t+1}$ . Second, aggregate all  $\mathbf{x}_k^{t+1}$  to obtain  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1}$ .

## **Algorithm 3: Method of Multipliers**.

Consider

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}),\tag{3}
$$

$$
s.t. Ax - b = 0. \tag{4}
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2
$$
  
s.t.  $A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = 0$ .

The Lagrangian is called the **augmented Lagrangian** of [\(3](#page-1-0)). Denoted as

$$
L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \nu) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2 + \nu^\top (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}).
$$

Based on that, the dual gradient assent algorithm is

Step 1: 
$$
\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t),
$$
 (5)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>*,*

Step 2: 
$$
\nu^{t+1} = \nu^t + \rho(Ax^{t+1} - b).
$$
 (6)

**Remark 1** • **x**-update adopts  $L_\rho$  *is not*  $L$ *.* 

- *Step size is*  $\rho$  *is not*  $s_t$ *.*
- *This is called "method of multiplers" (MM).*

**Lemma 1** *Suppose that*  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1}$  *is generated from MM via*  $\boldsymbol{\nu}^t$ , *then show that*  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1}$  *is the stationary point of*  $L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1}).$ 

**Proof 1** *We know that*  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1}$  *minimizes*  $L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t)$ *, then* 

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^t + \rho A^{\top} (A \mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} (\boldsymbol{\nu}^t + \rho (A \mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b}))
$$
  
\n
$$
= \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} = \nabla L(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1}) = 0.
$$

**Q:** When *f* is separable, then augmented Lagrangian  $L_\rho$  is not separable. So that **x**-minimization step cannot be carried out separately in parallel for each  $x_i$ . How to address this issue?

# **1.2 ADMM**

Let us consider the following convex optimization problem:

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{z}) \tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
s.t. Ax + B\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{c},\tag{8}
$$

where  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, n_1 + n_2 = n, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_1}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_2}$ . Further assume that f and g are convex. The only difference form the general linear equality constrained problem is that the variables **x***,* **z** can be viewed splitted variable from a big one.

#### **Example 1**

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{x}).
$$

*This is equivalent to*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{z}),
$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0$ .

## **Example 2**

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(A\mathbf{x}).$ 

*This is equivalent to*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{z}),
$$
  
s.t.  $A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0$ .

## **Example 3**

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}),
$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*This is equivalent to*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \delta_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{z}),
$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0$ .

**Example 4** *Global consensus problem is*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{j=1}^{J} f_j(\mathbf{x}).
$$

*This is equivalent to*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}} \sum_{j=1}^J f_j(\mathbf{x}_j),
$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x} = 0$ .

Actually, the problem ([7\)](#page-2-0) can be solved by MM. Its augmented Lagrangian is

$$
L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \nu) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{z}) + \nu^{\top} (A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}||^{2}.
$$
  

$$
\begin{cases} (\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \mathbf{z}^{t+1}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \nu^{t}), \\ \nu^{t+1} = \nu^{t} + \rho (A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + b\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c}). \end{cases}
$$

This formulation cannot be decomposed.

So, the ADMM algorithm is

$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}^t, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t), \\
\mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{z}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t), \\
\mathbf{v}^{t+1} = \mathbf{v}^t + \rho(A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + b\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c}).\n\end{cases}
$$

This is called "unscaled form". The corresponding "scaled form" is

$$
\boldsymbol{\nu}^\top (A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}\|^2 = \frac{\rho}{2} \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{\nu}/\rho\|^2 - \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\nu}/\rho\|^2.
$$

Let  $\mathbf{u} = \nu / \rho$ , then the so-called scaled form of ADMM is

$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} (f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{u}^t||^2), \\
\mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{z}} (g(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{u}^t||^2), \\
\mathbf{u}^{t+1} = \mathbf{u}^t + A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c}.\n\end{cases}
$$

**Example 5** *(LAD Regression)*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1.
$$

*This is equivalent to*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_1,
$$
  
s.t.  $A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b}$ .

*Based on ADMM algorithm, it has*

$$
\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{u}^t||^2
$$

$$
= (A^\top A)^{-1} A^\top (\mathbf{z}^t + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{u}^t).
$$

$$
\mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{z}\|_1 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{u}^t\|^2 \right\}
$$

$$
= S_{1/\rho}(A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{u}^t),
$$

*where*  $S_{1/\rho}$  *is the soft thresholding function. For*  $\mathbf{u}^{t+1}$ ,

$$
\mathbf{u}^{t+1} = \mathbf{u}^t + A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b}.
$$

**Example 6** *(LASSO)*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} \ \frac{1}{2} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1.
$$

*This is equivalent to*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} \frac{1}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2 + \lambda ||\mathbf{z}||_1,
$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0.$ 

*Based on ADMM algorithm, it has*

$$
\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}^t + \mathbf{u}^t||^2 \right\}
$$
  
=  $(A^\top A + \rho I)^{-1} (A^\top \mathbf{b} + \rho(\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{u}^t)).$   

$$
\mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{z}} \left\{ \lambda ||\mathbf{z}||_1 + \frac{\rho}{2} ||\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{u}^t||^2 \right\}
$$
  
=  $S_{\lambda/\rho} (\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + \mathbf{u}^t),$ 

*where*  $S_{\lambda/\rho}$  *is the soft thresholding function. For*  $\mathbf{u}^{t+1}$ ,

$$
\mathbf{u}^{t+1} = \mathbf{u}^t + \mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z}^{t+1}.
$$

**Example 7**

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}),
$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*This is equivalent to*

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \delta_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{z}),
$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0$ .

*Based on ADMM algorithm, it has*

$$
\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}^t + \mathbf{u}^t||^2 \right\}
$$

$$
= prox_{f/\rho} (\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{u}^t).
$$

$$
\mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} \left\{ \delta_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{u}^t||^2 \right\}
$$

$$
= \pi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + \mathbf{u}^t),
$$

*where*  $\pi_{\mathcal{X}}$  *is the projection function. For*  $\mathbf{u}^{t+1}$ ,

$$
\mathbf{u}^{t+1} = \mathbf{u}^t + \mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z}^{t+1}.
$$

- *Non-negative Least Squares:*  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}||^2$ ,  $\mathcal{X} = {\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x} \succeq 0}$ .
- *Ridge:*  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}||^2$ ,  $\mathcal{X} = {\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{x}|| \le t}.$
- *Basis Pursuit: f*(**x**) = *∥***x***∥*1*,* **X** = *{***x***|A***x** = **b***}. Then*

$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = S_{1/\rho}(\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{u}^t), \\
\mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \pi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + \mathbf{u}^t) = (I - A(AA^{\top})^{-1}A)(\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + \mathbf{u}^t) + A^{\top}(AA^{\top})^{-1}\mathbf{b}.\n\end{cases}
$$

# **1.3 Optimality Conditions of ADMM**

For the convex optimization problem ([7\)](#page-2-0), we have the necessary and sufficient optimality conditions for it as

<span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + A^\top \mathbf{\nu}^* = 0,\tag{9}
$$

$$
\nabla g(\mathbf{z}^*) + A^\top \mathbf{\nu}^* = 0,\tag{10}
$$

$$
A\mathbf{x}^* + B\mathbf{z}^* - \mathbf{c} = 0. \tag{11}
$$

For ([10\)](#page-5-1), we know that  $\mathbf{z}^{t+1}$  minimizes  $L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t)$ , then

$$
0 = \nabla g(\mathbf{z}^{t+1}) + B^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^t + \rho B^{\top} (A \mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B \mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c})
$$
  
=  $\nabla g(\mathbf{z}^{t+1}) + B^{\top} (\boldsymbol{\nu}^t + \rho (A \mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B \mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c}))$   
=  $\nabla g(\mathbf{z}^{t+1}) + B^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1}.$ 

So,  $(\mathbf{z}^{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1})$  satisfies [\(10](#page-5-1)) in the KKT conditions.

For ([9\)](#page-5-2), we know that  $\mathbf{x}^{t+1}$  minimizes  $L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}^t, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t)$ , then

$$
0 = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^t + \rho A^{\top} (A \mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B \mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{c})
$$
  
=  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} (\boldsymbol{\nu}^t + \rho (A \mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B \mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c})) + \rho A^{\top} B(\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{z}^{t+1})$   
=  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} + \rho A^{\top} B(\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{z}^{t+1}).$ 

Thus,

$$
S^{t+1} := \rho A^\top B(\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z}^t) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^\top \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1},
$$

this is called "dual residual". Furthermore, define

$$
R^{t+1} = A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{c}
$$

as "primal residual".

The stopping conditions of ADMM should be

$$
||S^{t+1}|| \le \epsilon, \ ||R^{t+1}|| \le \epsilon. \tag{12}
$$

When  $\epsilon \to 0$ , then KKT conditions are satisfied.

# **References**

<span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] Stephen Boyd, Neal Parikh, Eric Chu, Borja Peleato, and Jonathan Eckstein. Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alternating direction method of multipliers. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 3(1):1–122, 2010.