Optimization Theory and Algorithm II

October 24, 2022

Lecture 13

Lecturer:Xiangyu Chang Scribe: Xiangyu Chang

Edited by: Xiangyu Chang

1 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers

This part is summarized from the article [1].

1.1 Three Related Algorithms

Algorithm 1: Dual Gradient Ascent

Consider

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$s.t. \ A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = 0.$$

Lagrangian: $L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\top} (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})$. Thus,

$$g(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \inf_{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = L(\mathbf{x}^*(\boldsymbol{\nu}), \boldsymbol{\nu}).$$

The dual problem is

 $\max_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} g(\boldsymbol{\nu}).$

Because we have

$$\nabla g(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\nu}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\nu}} = (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}),$$

where $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} = 0.$ Based on that, the dual gradient assent algorithm is

Step 1:
$$\mathbf{x}^t = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t),$$
 (1)

Step 2:
$$\boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\nu}^t + s_t(A\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{b}).$$
 (2)

The dual variable ν can be interpreted as a vector of prices, and ν -update is called a "price update" step.

Algorithm 2: Dual Decomposition

The major benefit of the dual ascent method is that it can lead to a decentralized algorithm if f is separable. We consider

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_k(\mathbf{x}_k),$$

$$s.t. \ A\mathbf{x} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{b},$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k}, \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{x}_k = n.$

For Lagrangian:

$$\begin{split} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) &= \sum_{k=1}^K f_k(\mathbf{x}_k) + \boldsymbol{\nu}^\top (\sum_{k=1}^K A_k \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \underbrace{\left\{ f_k(\mathbf{x}_k) + \boldsymbol{\nu}^\top (A_k \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{b}/K) \right\}}_{:=L_k(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\nu})}. \end{split}$$

Algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_k^{t+1} &= \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}_k} L_k(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t), \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} &= \boldsymbol{\nu}^t + s_t(A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b}). \end{cases}$$

So, first we broadcast ν^t to all threads. Then they compute each \mathbf{x}_k^{t+1} . Second, aggregate all \mathbf{x}_k^{t+1} to obtain \mathbf{x}^{t+1} .

Algorithm 3: Method of Multipliers.

Consider

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}), \tag{3}$$

$$s.t. A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = 0. \tag{4}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2,$$
s.t. $A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = 0$.

The Lagrangian is called the **augmented Lagrangian** of (3). Denoted as

$$L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2 + \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\top} (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}).$$

Based on that, the dual gradient assent algorithm is

Step 1:
$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t),$$
 (5)

Step 2:
$$\nu^{t+1} = \nu^t + \rho(A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b}).$$
 (6)

Remark 1 • x-update adopts L_{ρ} is not L.

- Step size is ρ is not s_t .
- This is called "method of multiplers" (MM).

Lemma 1 Suppose that \mathbf{x}^{t+1} is generated from MM via $\boldsymbol{\nu}^t$, then show that \mathbf{x}^{t+1} is the stationary point of $L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1})$.

Proof 1 We know that \mathbf{x}^{t+1} minimizes $L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t)$, then

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t} + \rho A^{\top} (A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$= \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} (\boldsymbol{\nu}^{t} + \rho (A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b}))$$

$$= \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} = \nabla L(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1}) = 0.$$

Q: When f is separable, then augmented Lagrangian L_{ρ} is not separable. So that **x**-minimization step cannot be carried out separately in parallel for each \mathbf{x}_i . How to address this issue?

1.2 ADMM

Let us consider the following convex optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{z}) \tag{7}$$

$$s.t. A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{c}, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_1}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_2}$. Further assume that f and g are convex.

The only difference form the general linear equality constrained problem is that the variables \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} can be viewed splitted variable from a big one.

Example 1

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{x}).$$

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{z}),$$

$$s.t. \ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0.$$

Example 2

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(A\mathbf{x}).$$

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{z}),$$

$$s.t. A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0.$$

Example 3

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$s.t. \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \delta_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{z}),$$

$$s.t. \ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0.$$

Example 4 Global consensus problem is

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{j=1}^{J} f_j(\mathbf{x}).$$

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}} \sum_{j=1}^J f_j(\mathbf{x}_j).,$$

$$s.t. \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Actually, the problem (7) can be solved by MM. Its augmented Lagrangian is

$$L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{z}) + \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\top} (A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}||^{2}.$$

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \mathbf{z}^{t+1}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t}), \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t} + \rho (A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + b\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c}). \end{cases}$$

This formulation cannot be decomposed.

So, the ADMM algorithm is

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}^{t}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t}), \\ \mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t}), \\ \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t} + \rho(A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + b\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c}). \end{cases}$$

This is called "unscaled form". The corresponding "scaled form" is

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}^\top (A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c}\|^2 = \frac{\rho}{2} \|A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{\nu}/\rho\|^2 - \frac{\rho}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\nu}/\rho\|^2.$$

Let $\mathbf{u} = \boldsymbol{\nu}/\rho$, then the so-called scaled form of ADMM is

$$\begin{cases} & \mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} (f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} || A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{u}^t ||^2), \\ & \mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} (g(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} || A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{u}^t ||^2), \\ & \mathbf{u}^{t+1} = \mathbf{u}^t + A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c}. \end{cases}$$

Example 5 (LAD Regression)

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{1},$$

$$s t \quad A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b}$$

Based on ADMM algorithm, it has

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\rho}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{u}^t||^2$$
$$= (A^{\top}A)^{-1}A^{\top}(\mathbf{z}^t + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{u}^t).$$

$$\mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{z}\|_1 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{u}^t\|^2 \right\}$$
$$= S_{1/\rho} (A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{u}^t),$$

where $S_{1/\rho}$ is the soft thresholding function. For \mathbf{u}^{t+1} ,

$$\mathbf{u}^{t+1} = \mathbf{u}^t + A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{b}.$$

Example 6 (LASSO)

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \ \frac{1}{2} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} \frac{1}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2 + \lambda ||\mathbf{z}||_1,$$

$$s.t. \ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0.$$

Based on ADMM algorithm, it has

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}^t + \mathbf{u}^t\|^2 \right\}$$
$$= (A^{\top}A + \rho I)^{-1} (A^{\top}\mathbf{b} + \rho(\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{u}^t)).$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{z}^{t+1} &= \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} \left\{ \lambda \|\mathbf{z}\|_1 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{u}^t\|^2 \right\} \\ &= S_{\lambda/\rho}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + \mathbf{u}^t), \end{split}$$

where $S_{\lambda/\rho}$ is the soft thresholding function. For \mathbf{u}^{t+1} ,

$$\mathbf{u}^{t+1} = \mathbf{u}^t + \mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z}^{t+1}.$$

Example 7

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$s.t. \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \delta_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{z}),$$

$$s.t. \ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} = 0.$$

Based on ADMM algorithm, it has

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}^t + \mathbf{u}^t||^2 \right\}$$
$$= prox_{f/\rho} (\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{u}^t).$$

$$\mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} \left\{ \delta_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{u}^{t}\|^{2} \right\}$$
$$= \pi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + \mathbf{u}^{t}),$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the projection function. For \mathbf{u}^{t+1} ,

$$\mathbf{u}^{t+1} = \mathbf{u}^t + \mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z}^{t+1}.$$

- Non-negative Least Squares: $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}||^2, \mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \succeq 0\}.$
- Ridge: $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} ||A\mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}||^2, \mathcal{X} = {\mathbf{x} | ||\mathbf{x}|| \le t}.$
- Basis Pursuit: $f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1, \mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x} | A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}.$ Then

$$\begin{cases} & \mathbf{x}^{t+1} = S_{1/\rho}(\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{u}^t), \\ & \mathbf{z}^{t+1} = \pi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + \mathbf{u}^t) = (I - A(AA^\top)^{-1}A)(\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + \mathbf{u}^t) + A^\top(AA^\top)^{-1}\mathbf{b}. \end{cases}$$

1.3 Optimality Conditions of ADMM

For the convex optimization problem (7), we have the necessary and sufficient optimality conditions for it as

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + A^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\nu}^* = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla g(\mathbf{z}^*) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^* = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$A\mathbf{x}^* + B\mathbf{z}^* - \mathbf{c} = 0. \tag{11}$$

For (10), we know that \mathbf{z}^{t+1} minimizes $L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t)$, then

$$0 = \nabla g(\mathbf{z}^{t+1}) + B^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t} + \rho B^{\top} (A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c})$$

= $\nabla g(\mathbf{z}^{t+1}) + B^{\top} (\boldsymbol{\nu}^{t} + \rho (A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c}))$
= $\nabla g(\mathbf{z}^{t+1}) + B^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1}$.

So, $(\mathbf{z}^{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1})$ satisfies (10) in the KKT conditions.

For (9), we know that \mathbf{x}^{t+1} minimizes $L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}^t, \boldsymbol{\nu}^t)$, then

$$0 = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t} + \rho A^{\top} (A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z}^{t} - \mathbf{c})$$

$$= \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} (\boldsymbol{\nu}^{t} + \rho (A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{c})) + \rho A^{\top} B(\mathbf{z}^{t} - \mathbf{z}^{t+1})$$

$$= \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1} + \rho A^{\top} B(\mathbf{z}^{t} - \mathbf{z}^{t+1}).$$

Thus,

$$S^{t+1} := \rho A^{\top} B(\mathbf{z}^{t+1} - \mathbf{z}^t) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) + A^{\top} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{t+1},$$

this is called "dual residual". Furthermore, define

$$R^{t+1} = A\mathbf{x}^{t+1} + B\mathbf{z}^t - \mathbf{c}$$

as "primal residual".

The stopping conditions of ADMM should be

$$||S^{t+1}|| \le \epsilon, \quad ||R^{t+1}|| \le \epsilon. \tag{12}$$

When $\epsilon \to 0$, then KKT conditions are satisfied.

References

[1] Stephen Boyd, Neal Parikh, Eric Chu, Borja Peleato, and Jonathan Eckstein. Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alternating direction method of multipliers. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 3(1):1–122, 2010.