

Homework 1: Review

Lecturer: Xiangyu Chang

Scribe: Xiangyu Chang

Edited by: Xiangyu Chang

HW 1 In the Portfolio Management example, we formulate the optimization problem as:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{E}(R) - \lambda \text{Var}(R), \quad (1)$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_i \geq 0, i = 1 \dots, n, \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbb{E}(R)$ is the expectation of R , $\text{Var}(R)$ is the variance of R and $\lambda > 0$ is called risk aversion parameter for balancing the investment risk and expected return. We further suppose that $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)^\top$ is a random vector with expectation of $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)^\top$, and covariance of Σ . Then prove that the optimization problem (1) is equivalent to

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \mathbf{x} - \lambda \mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{x}, \quad (4)$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_i \geq 0, i = 1 \dots, n, \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1. \quad (6)$$

HW 2 Poisson Regression: Suppose now that the b_i are counts and the \mathbf{a}_i are some predictors in \mathbb{R}^p . Let λ_i be the expected number of events in sometime period, i.e.

$$P(b_i | \lambda_i) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{b_i}}{b_i!}.$$

Based on the example of Generalized Linear Model, please derive the following optimization form to present the simple Poisson regression.

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{i=1}^m \exp(\langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle) - \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \rangle.$$

HW 3 Let us consider the LASSO problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \right\}. \quad (7)$$

- If $\lambda = 0$, provide the gradient descent algorithm (step size is related to β -smooth parameter).
- If $\lambda = 0$, provide the Newton-Raphson algorithm. Justify one-step Newton-Raphson algorithm can find the optimal solution.
- If $\lambda > 0$, provide the sub-gradient descent algorithm.
- If $\lambda > 0$, provide the proximal gradient descent algorithm.

Note: show the detailed derivations.

HW 4 Consider the following convex optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & f(\mathbf{x}), \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{x} \preceq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, according to general optimality condition prove that the optimal point \mathbf{x}^* satisfies

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) \preceq 0 \text{ and } x_i^* (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*))_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

HW 5 First, recall the support vector machine in the lecture notes. Then consider the following relaxed linear SVM model

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \xi} \quad & \frac{\|\mathbf{w}\|^2}{2} + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y_i (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle + \mathbf{b}) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \\ & \xi_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant.

Please show its Lagrange dual problem.

References