Optimization Theory and Algorithm

October 26, 2021

Lecture 11

Lecturer:Xiangyu Chang Scribe: Xiangyu Chang

Edited by: Xiangyu Chang

1 Convergence

Assumption 1 (A1) Objective function f is β -smooth,

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\| \le \beta \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|.$$

Assumption 2 (A2)

- (1) The index i_t does not depended from the previous $i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{t-1}$.
- (2) $\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t)] = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)$ (Unbiased Estimation).
- (3) $\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2] = \sigma^2 + \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2$ (control the variance).

Assumption 3 (A3) The objective function f is α -strong convex

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle + \frac{\alpha}{2} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||^2.$$

Lemma 1 Under A1, consider the SGD, then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1})] &:= \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1})|\mathbf{x}^t] \\ &\leq f(\mathbf{x}^t) - s_t \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t), \mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t)] \rangle + \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2]. \end{split}$$

Proof 1 We know that

$$f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}^t) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t), \mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^t \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{x}^{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^t\|^2$$
$$= f(\mathbf{x}^t) - s_t \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t), \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t) \rangle + \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2.$$

Taking the expectation of the above inequality leads to the results.

Lemma 2 Based on A1 and A2, it has

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^t)] \le \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 - s_t (1 - \frac{\beta s_t}{2}) \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2.$$

Proof 2 According Lemma 1, A1 and A2,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^t)] &\leq \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2] - s_t \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t), \mathbb{E}_{i_t}[\nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t)] \rangle \\ &= \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} (\sigma^2 + \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2) - s_t \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 - s_t (1 - \frac{\beta s_t}{2}) \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3 Suppose A3 holds, then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f^* \le \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|^2.$$

Non-convex and β -smooth objective functions:

SGD is a commonly accepted method for training neural networks, which are usually non-convex and smooth optimization problems. For GD, we have known that

$$\min_{0 \le t \le T-1} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\| \le O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}).$$

What about SGD?

Theorem 1 (Fixed Learning Rate)

Suppose that A1 and A2 hold. Let $s_t = s \in (0, 1/\beta]$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[1/T\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2\right] \le s\beta\sigma^2 + \frac{2(f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f^*)}{Ts}.$$

Proof 3 Based on Lemma 2,

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^t)] \le \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 - s_t (1 - \frac{\beta s_t}{2}) \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2,$$
$$\le \frac{\beta s^2}{2} \sigma^2 - \frac{s}{2} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2.$$

Take the expectation over all indices, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^t)] \le \frac{\beta s^2}{2} \sigma^2 - \frac{s}{2} \|\mathbb{E}[\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2].$$

Thus,

$$f^* - f(\mathbf{x}^0) \le \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^T) - f(\mathbf{x}^0)] \le -\frac{s}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2] + \frac{Ts^2\beta}{2}\sigma^2.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[1/T\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2] \le s\beta\sigma^2 + \frac{2(f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f^*)}{Ts}.$$

In addition, it has

$$\mathbb{E}[\min_{0 \le t \le T-1} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2] \le s\beta\sigma^2 + \frac{2(f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f^*)}{sT}.$$

Remark 1 Consider for SGD,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\min_{0 \le t \le T - 1} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|\right] = O(\sigma + \sqrt{\frac{1}{T}}). \tag{1}$$

For GD, we has

$$\min_{0 \le t \le T-1} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\| = O(\sqrt{\frac{1}{T}}). \tag{2}$$

Theorem 2 (Non-fixed Learning Rate)

Suppose that A1 and A2 hold. Let $s_t \in (0, 1/\beta]$ for all t, and $\sum_t s_t = \infty, \sum_t s_t^2 < \infty$. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2\right] \to 0,$$

as $T \to \infty$.

Proof 4 Similar to the previous theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^t)] \le \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 - \frac{s_t}{2} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2.$$

Then, take the expectation over all indices, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^t)] \le \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 - \frac{s_t}{2} \|\mathbb{E}[\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2].$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^T) - f(\mathbf{x}^0)] \le \frac{\beta \sigma^2}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2].$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2] \le \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f(\mathbf{x}^T)] + \frac{\beta \sigma^2}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t^2 \\
\le f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \frac{\beta \sigma^2}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t^2.$$

Therefor,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2] < \infty,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} s_t \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2\right] \to 0.$$

Recall that, we have shown that GD for strong convex and smooth objective function has

$$\|\mathbf{x}^T - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 = O(\exp(-T)), \text{ and } f(\mathbf{x}^T) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) = O(\exp(-T)).$$

What about SGD??

Theorem 3 (Fixed Learning Rate)

Assume that A1, A2 and A3 holds and $s_t = s \in (0, 1/\beta]$ for all t, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^T) - f^*] \le \frac{s\beta\sigma^2}{2\alpha} + \exp(-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}T)(f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)).$$

Proof 5 Based on Lemma 2 and 3,

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^t)] \le \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 - s_t (1 - \frac{\beta s_t}{2}) \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2,$$

$$\le \frac{\beta s^2}{2} \sigma^2 - \frac{s}{2} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^t)\|^2$$

$$\le \frac{\beta s^2}{2} \sigma^2 - \alpha s (f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^*).$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f^*] + f^* - f(\mathbf{x}^t) \le \frac{\beta s^2}{2} \sigma^2 - \alpha s(f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^*),$$

thus,

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f^*] \le \frac{\beta s^2}{2} \sigma^2 + (1 - \alpha s)(f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^*).$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f^*] - \frac{s\beta}{2\alpha}\sigma^2 \le \frac{\beta s^2}{2}\sigma^2 - \frac{s\beta}{2\alpha}\sigma^2 + (1 - \alpha s)(f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^*)$$
$$= (1 - \alpha s)(f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^* - \frac{s\beta}{2\alpha}\sigma^2).$$

Take all expectation for the indices, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f^*] - \frac{s\beta}{2\alpha}\sigma^2 \le (1 - \alpha s)(\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^*] - \frac{s\beta}{2\alpha}\sigma^2).$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^T) - f^*] \le \frac{s\beta}{2\alpha}\sigma^2 + (1 - \alpha s)^T (f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f^* - \frac{s\beta}{2\alpha}\sigma^2)$$
$$\le \frac{s\beta\sigma^2}{2\alpha} + \exp(-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}T)(f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)).$$

Theorem 4 (SGD with diminishing learning rate)

Suppose that A1, A2 and A3 hold, and s_t satisfies $\sum_t s_t = \infty$ and $\sum_t s_t^2 < \infty$. For example, we set $s_t = \frac{\ell}{\gamma + t}, \ell > 1/\alpha, \gamma > 0$ and $s_0 = \frac{\ell}{\gamma} \leq 1/\beta$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^T) - f^*] \le \frac{\nu}{\gamma + T},\tag{3}$$

where $\nu = \max\{\gamma(f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f^*), \frac{\ell^2 \beta \sigma^2}{2(\ell \alpha - 1)}\}.$

Proof 6 Based on Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and fact $1 - \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \le 1 - \frac{\beta s_0^2}{2} = 1/2$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^t)] \le \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 - \alpha s_t (f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^*).$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_{i_t}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f^*] \le \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 + (1 - \alpha s_t)(f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^*).$$

Take all expectations, it has

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f^*] \le \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 + (1 - \alpha s_t) \mathbb{E}[(f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^*)].$$

Let us prove the final results by induction, for t = 0

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f^*] = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 0}(f(\mathbf{x}^0) - f^*) \le \frac{\nu}{\gamma + 0},$$

by the definition of ν .

Suppose that holds for t > 0, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f^*] \leq \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 + (1 - \alpha s_t) \mathbb{E}[(f(\mathbf{x}^t) - f^*)]$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta s_t^2}{2} \sigma^2 + (1 - \alpha s_t) \frac{\nu}{\gamma + t}$$

$$= \frac{\beta \sigma^2 \ell^2}{2(\gamma + t)^2} + (1 - \frac{\alpha \ell}{\gamma + t}) \frac{\nu}{\gamma + t}$$

$$= \frac{(\gamma + t - 1)\nu}{(\gamma + t)^2} - \frac{(\alpha \ell - 1)\nu}{(\gamma + t)^2} + \frac{\beta \sigma^2 \ell^2}{2(\gamma + t)^2}.$$

Due to the facts

$$\frac{\beta\sigma^2\ell^2}{2} - (\alpha\ell - 1)\nu \le \frac{\beta\sigma^2\ell^2}{2} - \frac{\beta\sigma^2\ell^2(\alpha\ell - 1)}{2(\ell\alpha - 1)} = 0,$$

and

$$(\gamma + t)^2 \ge (\gamma + t + 1)(\gamma + t - 1) = (\gamma + t)^2 - 1,$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - f^*] \le \frac{(\gamma + t - 1)\nu}{(\gamma + t)^2}$$
$$\le \frac{\nu}{\gamma + t + 1}.$$

Remark 2 • From the result, we see that choosing a decreasing learning rate results in a sublinear convergence rate, which is worse that is worse than the SGD with constant learning rate. However, note that such a choice enables to reach any neighborhood of the optimal values.

• The similar result

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^T) - f^*] \leq O(\|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| \exp(-\frac{\alpha T}{\beta}) + \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2 T})$$

can be found in /?/.

• For only the convex function, SGD has the property

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}^T) - f^*] \le = O(1/\sqrt{T}).$$

See Theorem 8.18 on Page 475 of Textbook.

1.0.1 Extensions

• Momentum Method:

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{v}^{t+1},$$

$$\mathbf{v}^{t+1} = \mu_t \mathbf{v}^t - s_t \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t).$$

This means

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{x}^t - s_t \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t) + \mu_t \underbrace{(\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t-1})}_{\text{momentum}}.$$

• Nesterov Accelerate Method:

$$\mathbf{y}^{t+1} = \mathbf{x}^t + \mu_t(\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t-1}),$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{y}^{t+1} - s_t \nabla f_{i,\cdot}(\mathbf{y}^{t+1}).$$

This means

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{x}^t - s_t \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{y}^{t+1}) + \mu_t \underbrace{(\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t-1})}_{\text{momentum}}$$

and $\mu_t = \frac{t-1}{t+2}$.

• AdaGrad:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{x}^{t+1} &= \mathbf{x}^t - \frac{s_t}{\sqrt{G^t + \epsilon \mathbb{1}^t}_n} \otimes \mathbf{g}^t, \\ G^{t+1} &= G^t + \mathbf{g}^t \otimes \mathbf{g}^t, \end{split}$$

where $\mathbf{g}^t = \nabla f_{i_t}(\mathbf{x}^t)$.

• RMSProp:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{x}^{t+1} &= \mathbf{x}^t - \frac{s_t}{R^t} \otimes \mathbf{g}^t, \\ M^{t+1} &= \rho M^t + (1-\rho) \mathbf{g}^t \otimes \mathbf{g}^t, \\ R^{t+1} &= \sqrt{M^{t+1} + \epsilon \mathbb{1}_n}. \end{split}$$

• Adam:

$$\begin{split} S^{t+1} &= \rho_1 S^t + (1-\rho_1) \mathbf{g}^t, \\ M^{t+1} &= \rho_2 M^t + (1-\rho_2) \mathbf{g}^t \otimes \mathbf{g}^t, \\ \mathbf{x}^{t+1} &= \mathbf{x}^t - \frac{s_t}{\sqrt{\widetilde{M}^t + \epsilon \mathbb{1}_n}} \otimes \widetilde{S}^t, \end{split}$$

where $\widetilde{S}^t = \frac{S^t}{1-\rho_1^t}$ and $\widetilde{M}^t = \frac{M^t}{1-\rho_2^t}$.

References